

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/027,234	12/27/2001	Norman Franklin Krasner	GES.025C	9405
75	90 09/20/2004		ЕХАМП	NER
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, P.L.L.C.			CORRIELUS, JEAN B	
SUITE 150 12200 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	. 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. • •						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
* ·		10/027,234	KRASNER, NORMAN FRANKLIN			
Office Acti	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Jean B Corrielus	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be availer SIX (6) MONTHS from the lifthe period for reply specifies the NO period for reply is specifies. Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply field above, the maximum statutory period v or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y, cause the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely file	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>29 Ju</u>	<u>uly 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FII	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this applic	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,11,16</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are 7)□ Claim(s)i	 Claim(s) 3,4,11,16-18 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 4,11,16-18 and 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §						
12) Acknowledgment a) All b) Som 1. Certified c 2. Certified c 3. Copies of application	is made of a claim for foreign ne * c) None of: opies of the priority documents opies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior n from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv	tion Noved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's P. 	d (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
	tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/027,234

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: receiving said one or more first preamble information bits after detecting said one initial detection sequence; receiving said one or more second preamble information bits after detecting said one unique word, recited in claim 3, lines 11-14, claim 4, lines 11-14 and claim 11, lines 11-14, do not have proper antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 4 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities.
 Claim 3, line 7, before bits, "p" should be deleted. The same comment applied to claims
 4 and 11, line 7, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,234

Art Unit: 2637

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 of U.S. Patent No.6, 373,899.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the pending application is clearly encompassed by claim. Given that, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify the claims as shown in the pending application in such a way to broaden the scope of the claim so as to minimize cost to implement the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 11, 16-18 and 21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Examiner's comment

6. Under the double patenting rejection in the last office action, the examiner inadvertently referred to a wrong reference number. This office action is being issued to correct the same. In addition, in reference to section "1" above, note the claims and line numbers where the limitations can be found.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/027,234 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-3086.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

beam b. Comeius

Primary Examiner

TC-2600

9/16/04